

#	Goal or Desired State of Digital Financial Report	More information	Comments, examples, etc.	Automatable	Manual	FY 2013 (automatable tests only)	FY 2012 (automatable tests only)
1	XBRL technical syntax consistent with XBRL technical specification requirements	See		X		99.9%	99.9%
2	Consistent with requirements of EDGAR Filer automated and manual (EFM) syntax/semantics rules	See		X	X	97.9%	80.5%
3	Consistent and unambiguous report level representation or model structure	See	Tests arrangement of Network, Table, Axis, Member, Line Items, Abstracts, Concepts	X		99.9%	97.9%
4	Root entity of focus (economic entity, accounting entity) successfully and unambiguously detectable	See	If the entity of focus is not detected, unable to perform other tests	X		99.2%	98.8%
5	Current balance sheet date (document period end date) and income statement period (period context of document period end date) successfully and unambiguously detected	See		X		99.3%	99.8%
6	Fundamental accounting concept skeleton successfully and unambiguously detected and relations between concepts intact/sound	See		X		97.8%	97.9%
7	Primary financial statement roll up computations (balance sheet, income statement, statement of comprehensive income, cash flow statement) detected, intact, and foot	See	This has a dependency on discovery of fundamental accounting concepts. For example, if the concept "net cash flow" is not found, won't be able to find a roll up for net cash flow either.	X		90.1%	84.9%
8	Primary financial statements successfully discovered		This should be automatable, but if certain conditions exist it cannot be automated.	X	X	Generally successful	Generally successful
9	Primary financial statements foot and roll forward (cash flow statement, statement of changes in equity) appropriately		This is a duplicate of #7 which does not include the roll forwards; this is beyond the primary financials footing	X		Unknown	Unknown
10	Level 1 footnote disclosures appropriate		There is no way to automate this 100% unless the filer uses concepts from the US GAAP XBRL taxonomy.	X	X	Unknown	Unknown
11	Industry specific accounting concepts and relations valid		Similar to the fundamental accounting concepts, but for specific industries or activities	X	X	Unknown	Unknown
12	Level 2 policy text block disclosures appropriate				X	Fair	Unknown
13	Each Level 3 [Text Block] and related Level 4 detail disclosure match appropriately	See		X	X	Poor	Poor
14	Each Level 4 detail disclosure valid including representation structure, mathematical computations, intersections with other components, etc.	See	See the separate disclosure testing algorithm	X	X	Unknown	Unknown
15	Required disclosures discovered		Nature of business, basis of reporting, accounting policies and all other required disclosures are discovered	X		Unknown	Unknown
16	Reported prior period information consistent with prior report current period information where appropriate			X	X	Unknown	Unknown
17	Disclosure rules have been met and make sense		For example, if PPE exists on the balance sheet then PPE details should be discovered to be disclosed and PPE estimated useful lives should be discovered to be disclosed	X	X	Unknown	Unknown
18	Report element selection is justifiable, defensible, and otherwise appropriate				X	Unknown	Unknown
19	Reported facts appropriate				X	Unknown	Unknown
20	Variance analysis of reported facts as compared to peer or peer group appropriately explainable		Generally automatable using management by exception approach	X	X	Unknown	Unknown
21	Report element selection is consistent with peers or peer groups as appropriate				X	Unknown	Unknown
22	Disclosure checklist review for full inclusion		There is no way to automate the process of detecting things which should have been disclosed based on transactions, events, or other circumstances that are not included within report		X	Unknown	Unknown
23	True and fair representation of financial information of economic entity				X	Unknown	Unknown