
1. Automating Record to Report

The purpose of this section is to walk you through automation of the entire record to report process using this method. Details are provided for every piece of this implementation on the web page *Effective Automation of Record to Report Process* (Iteration #4)¹.

We will walk through this process step-by-step. The objective is to flow transactions from the accounting system general ledger all the way through to a complete financial report² which has been automatically generated.

1.1. STEP 1: Accounting system of an economic entity

The starting point of this process is the accounting system of some economic entity. For this iteration the "accounting system" is a Microsoft Dynamics accounting system subset of tables and data for the sample economic entity *The World Online* (TWO). The complete accounting system was available within a local Microsoft SQL Server:



¹ Effective Automation of Record to Report Process (Iteration #4), http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/

² End Result, http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/instance-RENDERED.html

For this iteration, a subset of a few accounting system tables (chart of accounts information, general ledger transactions,) were moved from Microsoft SQL Server to Microsoft Access database³ in order to make all of this information available for this prototype.


The following is a list of those tables as they would appear within the provided Microsoft Access database:

All Access Objects

Tables

- dbo_CM00100
- dbo_GL00100
- dbo GL20000
- dbo PM00200
- dbo POP10100
- dbo_RM00101
- dbo RM30101
- dbo SY00302
- dbo_SY01400
- dbo_UPR00100

Here is a screen shot of table "GL00100", chart of accounts:



This Iteration #4 focuses on performing 100% of all steps for a small set of accounting system tables. We are focusing on the chart of accounts (GL00100) and the general journal entries (GL20000) in this iteration. The number of accounting systems tables will expand in future iterations.

And so in summary, the point of step 1 is to make you aware of the accounting system database that is being used as a starting point which is the Microsoft Access database TWO_AccountingSystem.accdb which is made available for this prototype.

1.2. STEP 2: Create Complete XBRL Taxonomy

In order to get information from an accounting system into an XBRL-based report, the accounting system information needs to be mapped and converted to XBRL

³ Microsoft Access database of Microsoft Dynamics TWO sample company data, http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/TWO AccountingSystem.zip

concepts. Generally, a financial reporting scheme XBRL Taxonomy would be used such as US GAAP or IFRS. For this Iteration #4, we will create and use a small but very high-quality ample XBRL Taxonomy with a minimum number of concepts but correctly created in order to enable the successful execution of all the steps necessary for report production. No extension concepts will be used in this iteration.

Here is the XBRL Taxonomy that we will be using for Iteration #4 which will include:

- XBRL taxonomy schema
- XBRL labels
- XBRL linkbases (presentation, calculation, definition)
- XBRL formulas
- Disclosures taxonomy
- Disclosure mechanics rules
- Reporting checklist rules
- Fundamental accounting concept relations rules
- Type-subtype associations rules
- Any company specific extensions necessary to create complete report

Here is a basic visualization of the core information that will exist in the XBRL taxonomy⁴:

| Line | Label | Object Class | Period Type | Balance | Report ElementName |
|------|--|--------------------|----------------|---------|--|
| 1 | 1110 - Statement - Balance Sheet | Network | | | http://www.xbrlsite.com/mini/role/BalanceSheet |
| 2 | Balance Sheet [Abstract] | Abstract | | | mini:BalanceSheetAbstract { |
| 3 | Assets [Roll Up] | Abstract | | | mini:AssetsRollUp |
| 4 | Current Assets [Roll Up] | Abstract | | | mini:CurrentAssetsRollUp < |
| 5 | Cash and Cash Equivalents | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Debit | mini:CashAndCashEquivalents < |
| 6 | Receivables | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Debit | mini:Receivables |
| 7 | Inventories | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Debit | mini:Inventories (|
| 8 | Current Assets | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Debit | mini:CurrentAssets |
| 9 | Noncurrent Assets [Roll Up] | Abstract | | | mini:NoncurrentAssetsRollUp |
| 10 | Property, Plant and Equipment | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Debit | mini:PropertyPlantAndEquipment |
| 11 | Noncurrent Assets | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Debit | mini:NoncurrentAssets |
| 12 | Assets | Concept (Monetary) | | Debit | mini:Assets |
| _~~ | Liabilithnapontequita(feottoliq]_/~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | -wheterwy-www | $\sim\sim$ | ~~~~ | mindiapilisinander in Bethology Vol |

The complete XBRL Taxonomy can be found here⁵:

⁴ XBRL Taxonomy basic representation,

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/mini ModelStructure.html

⁵ Full XBRL Taxonomy for Iteration #4, see section "Complete XBRL Taxonomy", http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2020/Prototype/iteration2/

Complete XBRL Taxonomy Set Used for Iteration #4:

- · mini ModelStructure.html (Basic visulization of XBRL Taxonomyter)
- mini.zip (Basic XBRL Taxonomy files)
- fundamental-accounting-concepts-relations.zip (XBRL Formulas for fundamental accounting concept relations rules and concept derivation rules)
- · disclosures.zip (Disclosures XBRL Taxonomy, used for Disclosure Mechanics and Reporting Checklist)
- · disclosure-mechanics.zip (Download all Disclosure Mechanics rules)
- · reporting-checklist.zip (Download all Reporting Checklist rules)
- · mini-def.xml (XBRL definition linkbase for type-subtype rules)
- · reporting-checklist-rules-def.xml (XBRL definition linkbase for reporting checklist rules)
- modelStructure-rules-strict-def.xml (XBRL definition linkbase for model structure rules)
- NOTE: We are NOT focusing on proper modularization of XBRL taxonomy, we are focusing on what a complete XBRL taxonomy looks like, how to create it.

For information about how to create an XBRL taxonomy correctly, please see *Essentials of XBRL-based Digital Financial Reporting*⁶.

1.3. STEP 3: Mapping Accounting System Accounts to XBRL Taxonomy Concepts

This step involves using the XBRL Taxonomy created in STEP 2 and the accounting system chart of accounts table in STEP 1 and map the GL accounts to the XBRL concepts used to create the XBRL-based financial report.

The mapping could take several forms. XBRL concepts could be added to a field in the accounting system database table which contains the chart of accounts. For example, a user defined field that is available could be used or a new field could be added to the accounting system table (if that is allowed). That is the approach we took.

Alternatively, the mapping could be performed in the CDM table after accounting system information is put into the CDM. Alternatively, a separate table could be created which contains the mapping between the XBRL concepts and chart of accounts.


For Iteration #4, the approach of adding the XBRL concept mappings to the accounting system database was used which is mimicked by an Excel spreadsheet for easy observation⁷.

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/dbo GL00100.zip

⁶ Essentials of XBRL-based Digital Financial Reporting,

 $[\]underline{http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/essentials/EssentialsOfXBRLBasedDigitalFinancialReporting.pdf}$

⁷ Completed mapping for Iteration #4 in Excel,



The Excel spreadsheet provided and the Microsoft Access database table dbo_GL00100 have exactly the same information. The COMPLETED version of the mappings for the table dbo_GL00100 is in the Microsoft Access database TWO_Local_Iteration4.accdb⁸.

1.4. STEP 4: Understanding Work Tags

To prepare for the next step, read the article *Tales of the Cloud: The Story of Worktags*⁹ which explains the notion of work tags. Effectively, work tags are a mechanism for categorizing general ledger transactions.

We will take the approach of assigning worktags to every general ledger transaction entry. Further, we are formally defining the worktags in the XBRL taxonomy created in step 2. Assume that worktags are entered when transactions are entered into the general ledger so that work is not pushed to the end of the month or end of the year. Note that worktag assignment will very likely be 80% automated and 20% manually assigned with an increase in the automated percentage and decrease in the manually assigned percentage over time.

We will take work tags to the extreme by coding 100% of general ledger transactions using work tags that are defined within our base XBRL taxonomy. This allows general ledger information to be grouped by XBRL concept and by work tag. This effectively allows for the changes to an account (i.e. roll forward) to be grouped into type of change. This enables the effective auto generation of a complete financial statement as will be seen in a moment.


1.5. STEP 5: Apply Work Tags to General Ledger Transactions

After you understand work tags and after you create the XBRL taxonomy in STEP 2 which provides the work tags themselves, general ledger transactions can be grouped effectively to generate the information necessary to create a proper balance sheet, income statement, cash flow statement, and changes in equity.

⁸ TWO Local_Iteration4.accdb,

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/TWO Local Iteration4.zip

⁹ Tales of the Cloud: The Story of Worktags, https://blog.workday.com/en-us/2012/tales-of-the-cloud-the-story-of-worktags.html



Note that I went into the accounting system general ledger transactions table (dbo_GL20000) and assigned 98% of work tags automatically using existing metadata that existed within the accounting system and then had to make a few adjustments to capture the other 2% of transactions. The field "Tag" was added to the accounting system database table dbo_GL20000. Alternative approaches could be used to create a spot in the accounting system for mapping or provide the mapping external to the accounting system. Either approach will work.

The TWO accounting system has 22,301 rows in the general ledger transactions table (dbo_GL20000). Per above, 21,855 or 98% of transactions had work tags applied automatically. The remaining 446 or 2% had to be adjusted manually. Normally, work tags can be assigned either automatically by the accounting system processing of transactions for the vast majority of transactions; the remaining transactions will require manual entry either when transactions are entered or sometime during the accounting cycle. If this is done, automated report generation can be enabled.

I would suggest that work tags be assigned within the accounting system general ledger transactions table (dbo_GL20000) prior to transferring information into the CDM.


1.6. STEP 6: Trial Balance Generation

With the XBRL Concepts and XBRL work tabs applied the general ledger trial balance can be generated not just by general ledger account code of the accounting system, but also by XBRL Concept, XBRL work tag, and a combination of XBRL Concept and XBRL work tag¹⁰:


GL transactions summarized by GL account code: (traditional, _qryJournalEntries_ByAccount)

 $\underline{http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/qlTransactionsAndTrialBalance.zip} \\$

 $^{^{10}}$ Examples of transaction and trial balance groupings in Excel (these also exist in the database provided),



There are many other grouping of the information that can be created from within the accounting system; but we are more interested in the sorts of groupings that you COULD NOT do before because information did not exist in the accounting system database but you CAN DO NOW because we added the XBRL concept and worktags to the accounting system information. For example, below you see the pre close general ledger trial balance summarized by XBRL concept: (_qryJournalEntries_ByXBRLConcept)



Below we make one modification to the query, summarizing the SumOfAmount and Count columns so that you can see that (a) the trial balance balances (i.e. debits = credits) and that the Count of transactions is the same as the total number of transactions in the database table dbo_GL20000.

| userDefined2 | SumOfamount | Count |
|--|---------------|--------|
| mini:AccountsPayable | -2,689,452.31 | 8,901 |
| mini:CashAndCashEquivalents | -648,551.94 | 2,406 |
| mini:CostsOfSales | 886,041.18 | 568 |
| mini:DepreciationAndAmortization | 21,428.16 | 5 |
| mini:Inventories | 451,842.19 | 898 |
| mini:LongtermDebt | -338,349.05 | 23 |
| mini:NonoperatingIncomeExpenses | -2,165.93 | 22 |
| mini:PropertyPlantAndEquipment | 1,245,567.16 | 15 |
| mini:Receivables | 2,035,468.27 | 1,004 |
| mini:RetainedEarnings | -1,407,646.64 | 9 |
| mini:Sales | -2,604,048.36 | 615 |
| mini:SalesGeneralAndAdministrativeExpenses | 3,049,867.27 | 7,822 |
| | 0.00 | 22,288 |

Similarly, we can group the general ledger transactions by the worktags that we assigned as contrast to the chart of accounts code: (query _qryJournalEntries_ByTag)



Again, looking at this query with totals for the SumOfAmount and Count you see that the debits are equal to credits again (as one would expect) and that the total count of transactions is the same as the grouping by XBRL concept:

| tags | SumOfamount | Count |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| mini:AdditionalLongtermBorrowings2 | -11,458.10 | 2 |
| mini:CollectionReceivables | 2,072,035.32 | 402 |
| mini:CollectionReceivables2 | -2,038,347.91 | 459 |
| mini:CostsOfSales2 | -1,543,602.24 | 549 |
| mini:DepreciationAndAmortization2 | -21,428.16 | 5 |
| mini:NetIncomeLoss | 1,351,122.32 | 9,032 |
| mini:OpeningBalance | 0.00 | 98 |
| mini:PaymentOfAccountsPayable | -3,119,525.02 | 1,993 |
| mini:PaymentOfAccountsPayable2 | 1,889,636.81 | 418 |
| mini:PurchasesOfInventoryForSale | 1,528,434.23 | 344 |
| mini:PurchasesOfInventoryForSale2 | -2,983,739.70 | 8,434 |
| mini:RepaymentLongtermBorrowings2 | 34,394.74 | 16 |
| mini:Sales2 | 2,842,477.71 | 536 |
| | 0.00 | 22,288 |

The important point to take away here is that while the set of transactions is the same for each of the queries of the general ledger transaction (i.e. dbo_GL20000); depending upon what information you ask for and what information is actually in that table determines what queries you can get from querying the database.

The query _qryJournalEntries_XBRLConceptAndByTag returns all transactions grouped by BOTH the XBRL concept and the formal XBRL worktag that was assigned to each general ledger transaction entry: (again, debits=credits and the count of transactions is the same)

| userDefined2 | tags | SumOfamount | Count |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| mini:AccountsPayable | mini:OpeningBalance | -1,595,349.42 | 49 |
| mini:AccountsPayable | mini:PaymentOfAccountsPayable2 | 1,889,636.81 | 418 |
| mini:AccountsPayable | mini:PurchasesOfInventoryForSale2 | -2,983,739.70 | 8,434 |
| mini:CashAndCashEquivalents | mini:CollectionReceivables | 2,072,035.32 | 402 |
| mini:CashAndCashEquivalents | mini:OpeningBalance | 398,937.76 | 11 |
| mini:CashAndCashEquivalents | mini:PaymentOfAccountsPayable | -3,119,525.02 | 1,993 |
| mini:CostsOfSales | mini:NetIncomeLoss | 886,041.18 | 568 |
| mini:DepreciationAndAmortization | mini:NetIncomeLoss | 21,428.16 | 5 |
| mini:Inventories | mini:CostsOfSales2 | -1,543,602.24 | 549 |
| mini:Inventories | mini:OpeningBalance | 467,010.20 | 5 |
| mini:Inventories | mini:PurchasesOfInventoryForSale | 1,528,434.23 | 344 |
| mini:LongtermDebt | mini:AdditionalLongtermBorrowings2 | -11,458.10 | 2 |
| mini:LongtermDebt | mini:OpeningBalance | -361,285.69 | 5 |
| mini:LongtermDebt | mini:RepaymentLongtermBorrowings2 | 34,394.74 | 16 |
| mini:NonoperatingIncomeExpenses | mini:NetIncomeLoss | -2,165.93 | 22 |
| mini:PropertyPlantAndEquipment | mini:DepreciationAndAmortization2 | -21,428.16 | 5 |
| mini:PropertyPlantAndEquipment | mini:OpeningBalance | 1,266,995.32 | 10 |
| mini:Receivables | mini:CollectionReceivables2 | -2,038,347.91 | 459 |
| mini:Receivables | mini:OpeningBalance | 1,231,338.47 | 9 |
| mini:Receivables | mini:Sales2 | 2,842,477.71 | 536 |
| mini:RetainedEarnings | mini:OpeningBalance | -1,407,646.64 | 9 |
| mini:Sales | mini:NetIncomeLoss | -2,604,048.36 | 615 |
| mini:SalesGeneralAndAdministrativeExpenses | mini:NetIncomeLoss | 3,049,867.27 | 7,822 |
| | | 0.00 | 22,288 |

Here we see a query (_qryJE_SummaryByAccount_GroupedByConcept) that returns the beginning balance of every account, changes to the account, and the ending

balance of every account that is PRE CLOSE and summarized by XBRL concept that is mapped to the chart of accounts:

| fsCaption | BeginningBalance | Changes | Ending Balance |
|--|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| mini:AccountsPayable | -1,595,349.42 | -1,094,102.89 | -2,689,452.31 |
| mini:CashAndCashEquivalents | 398,937.76 | -1,047,489.70 | -648,551.94 |
| mini:CostsOfSales | 0.00 | 886,041.18 | 886,041.18 |
| mini:DepreciationAndAmortization | 0.00 | 21,428.16 | 21,428.16 |
| mini:IncomeTaxExpenseBenefit | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| mini:Inventories | 467,010.20 | -15,168.01 | 451,842.19 |
| mini:LongtermDebt | -361,285.69 | 22,936.64 | -338,349.05 |
| mini:NonoperatingIncomeExpenses | 0.00 | -2,165.93 | -2,165.93 |
| mini:PropertyPlantAndEquipment | 1,266,995.32 | -21,428.16 | 1,245,567.16 |
| mini:Receivables | 1,231,338.47 | 804,129.80 | 2,035,468.27 |
| mini:RetainedEarnings | -1,407,646.64 | 0.00 | -1,407,646.64 |
| mini:Sales | 0.00 | -2,604,048.36 | -2,604,048.36 |
| mini:SalesGeneralAndAdministrativeExpenses | 0.00 | 3,049,867.27 | 3,049,867.27 |
| | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Below you see the preliminary roll forward information by balance sheet account which is retrieved using the query _qryJournalEntries_XBRLConceptAndByTag: (color coding is added to help you see the roll forward)

| userDefined2 | tags | SumOfamount | Count |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| mini:AccountsPayable | mini:OpeningBalance | -1,595,349.42 | 49 |
| mini:AccountsPayable | mini:PaymentOfAccountsPayable2 | 1,889,636.81 | 418 |
| mini:AccountsPayable | mini:PurchasesOfInventoryForSale2 | -2,983,739.70 | 8,434 |
| mini:CashAndCashEquivalents | mini:CollectionReceivables | 2,072,035.32 | 402 |
| mini:CashAndCashEquivalents | mini:OpeningBalance | 398,937.76 | 11 |
| mini:CashAndCashEquivalents | mini:PaymentOfAccountsPayable | -3,119,525.02 | 1,993 |
| mini:CostsOfSales | mini:NetIncomeLoss | 886,041.18 | 568 |
| mini:DepreciationAndAmortization | mini:NetIncomeLoss | 21,428.16 | 5 |
| mini:Inventories | mini:CostsOfSales2 | -1,543,602.24 | 549 |
| mini:Inventories | mini:OpeningBalance | 467,010.20 | 5 |
| mini:Inventories | mini:PurchasesOfInventoryForSale | 1,528,434.23 | 344 |
| mini:LongtermDebt | mini:AdditionalLongtermBorrowings2 | -11,458.10 | 2 |
| mini:LongtermDebt | mini:OpeningBalance | -361,285.69 | 5 |
| mini:LongtermDebt | mini:RepaymentLongtermBorrowings2 | 34,394.74 | 16 |
| mini:NonoperatingIncomeExpenses | mini:NetIncomeLoss | -2,165.93 | 22 |
| mini:PropertyPlantAndEquipment | mini:DepreciationAndAmortization2 | -21,428.16 | 5 |
| mini:PropertyPlantAndEquipment | mini:OpeningBalance | 1,266,995.32 | 10 |
| mini:Receivables | mini:CollectionReceivables2 | -2,038,347.91 | 459 |
| mini:Receivables | mini:OpeningBalance | 1,231,338.47 | 9 |
| mini:Receivables | mini:Sales2 | 2,842,477.71 | 536 |
| mini:RetainedEarnings | mini:OpeningBalance | -1,407,646.64 | 9 |
| mini:Sales | mini:NetIncomeLoss | -2,604,048.36 | 615 |
| mini:SalesGeneralAndAdministrativeExpenses | mini:NetIncomeLoss | 3,049,867.27 | 7,822 |
| | | 0.00 | 22,288 |

And below you see the ultimate objective that we are trying to achieve by going through all this work which is to create a roll forward POST CLOSE for every balance sheet line item which you can see below for the line item Cash and Cash Equivalents¹¹:

¹¹ Cash and Cash Equivalents Roll Forward, http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/evidence-package/contents/index.html#Rendering-CashAndCashEquivalents-Implied.html

| | Period [Axis] |
|--|----------------------------|
| Cash and Cash Equivalents [Roll Forward] | 2020-01-01 - 2020-12-31 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents [Roll Forward] | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning Balance | 398,937.76 |
| Collection of Receivables | 2,072,035.32 |
| Payment of Accounts Payable | (3,096,588.38) |
| Additional Long-term Borrowings | 10,554.36 |
| Repayment of Long-term Borrowings | (33,491.00) |
| Capital Additions of Property, Plant and Equipment | .00 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, Ending Balance | (648,551.94) |
| | |

Here you see a similar example for the Receivables line item roll forward¹²:

| | Period [Axis] |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Receivables [Roll Forward] | 2020-01-01 - 2020-12-31 |
| Receivables [Roll Forward] | |
| Receivables, Beginning Balance | 1,231,338.47 |
| Sales 2 | 2,604,048.36 |
| Collection of Receivables 2 | (1,799,918.56) |
| Receivables, Ending Balance | 2,035,468.27 |
| | |

Similarly, roll forwards are provided for each of the seven balance sheet line items that are on the trial balance. Further, note that the work tags that are used in the general ledger is how the changes are summarized from the general ledger transactions.

And so, below you see the general ledger trial balance and the summary of changes represented in the autogenerated XBRL-based financial report that we are creating:

Trial balance¹³:

¹² Receivables Roll Forward, http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/evidence-package/contents/index.html#Rendering-Receivables-Implied.html

¹³ Trial balance, http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/evidence-package/contents/index.html#Rendering-TrialBalance-Implied.html

| | Period [Axis] | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| Trial Balance [Roll Up] | 2020-12-31 | 2019-12-31 | |
| Trial Balance [Roll Up] | | | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | (648,551.94) | 398,937.76 | |
| Receivables | 2,035,468.27 | 1,231,338.47 | |
| Inventories | 451,842.19 | 467,010.20 | |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | 1,245,567.16 | 1,266,995.32 | |
| Accounts Payable | (2,689,452.31) | (1,595,349.42) | |
| Long-term Debt | (338,349.05) | (361,285.69) | |
| Retained Earnings | (56,524.32) | (1,407,646.64) | |
| Check Sum | .00 | .00 | |
| | | | |

Changes summary¹⁴:

| | Period [Axis] |
|--|----------------------------|
| Changes Summary [Roll Up] | 2020-01-01 - 2020-12-31 |
| Changes Summary [Roll Up] | |
| Collection of Receivables | 2,072,035.32 |
| Payment of Accounts Payable | (3,096,588.38) |
| Additional Long-term Borrowings 2 | 10,554.36 |
| Repayment of Long-term Borrowings 2 | (33,491.00) |
| Capital Additions of Property, Plant and Equipment 2 | .00 |
| Sales 2 | 2,604,048.36 |
| Collection of Receivables 2 | (1,799,918.56) |
| Purchases of Inventory for Sale | 870,873.17 |
| Costs of Sales 2 | (886,041.18) |
| Capital Additions of Property, Plant and Equipment | .00 |
| Depreciation and Amortization 2 | (21,428.16) |
| Purchases of Inventory for Sale 2 | (2,983,739.70) |
| Payment of Accounts Payable 2 | 1,889,636.81 |
| Additional Long-term Borrowings | (10,554.36) |
| Repayment of Long-term Borrowings | 33,491.00 |
| Net Income (Loss) | 1,351,122.32 |
| Check Sum Changes | .00 |
| | |

 $^{^{14}\} Changes\ summary,\ \underline{http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/evidence-package/contents/index.html \#Rendering-Transactions-Implied.html}$

A set of queries created lets a business professional examine all of this information in detail and at any level of granularity all the way down to the individual general ledger transaction entry and all the way up to the summarized information within a financial report.

While queries can be built on top of the accounting system; the queries can likewise be built on top of the accounting system information exported to the CDM database. As you will see next, the CDM database is simply the exact same thing as the accounting system database with (a) unnecessary information eliminated, (b) all table names being standard and (c) all fields within each table being standard.

1.7. STEP 7: Common Data Model (CDM)

The accounting system database tables are converted to the Engine B Common Data Model (CDM). For this to be possible, first the CDM needs to exist and work correctly and effectively by providing 100% of the information we need to process the queries. This is the version of the Engine B Common Data Model (CDM) that I am using 15 which is hosted on my server and has been converted from Engine B's JSON representation into an XBRL-based representation:



Iteration #4 focused on only TWO of the CDM tables: **chartOfAccounts** and **glDetail**. However, accounting system tables exist for 10 CDM tables.

To get the accounting system data out of the accounting system and into the CDM format, I used XBRL. Effectively, I created two XBRL instances supported by the CMD XBRL taxonomy which verifies that the XBRL instances are created correctly: chartOfAccounts¹⁶; glDetail¹⁷.

¹⁵ Engine B Common Data Model (CDM), http://xbrlsite.com/2020/prototypes/cdm/Structures.html

¹⁶ chartOfAccounts XBRL instance,

 $[\]frac{\text{http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/iteration2-instance-chartOfAccounts.xml}{}$

The accounting system was mapped to the CDM database format (tables and fields) using a SQL query (SQL Server View). Here are the queries for the two tables used in this Iteration #4. The following is a copy of the two queries:

chartOfAccounts:

SELECT ACTINDX, Trim([ACTNUMBR_1]) & "-" & Trim([ACTNUMBR_2]) & "-" & Trim([ACTNUMBR_3]) AS glAccountNumber, "account" AS accountType, Trim([ACTDESCR]) AS glAccountName, USERDEF1 AS fsCaption FROM dbo GL00100;

glDetail:


SELECT Trim([dbo GL00100.ACTNUMBR 1]) & Trim([dbo GL00100.ACTNUMBR 2]) & "-" & Trim([dbo GL00100.ACTNUMBR 3]) AS glAccountNumber, Trim([ACTDESCR]) AS userDefined1, dbo_GL00100.USERDEF1 AS dbo GL20000.SOURCDOC AS journalEntryType, dbo GL20000.TRXDATE AS dbo GL20000.JRNENTRY AS journalId, period, [dbo_GL20000.DEBITAMT]+([dbo_GL20000.CRDTAMNT]*-1) AS IIf([amount]>=0,"D","C") AS amountCreditDebitIndicator, dbo_GL20000.REFRENCE AS jeLineDescription, dbo_GL20000.CURNCYID AS reportingAmountCurrency, dbo GL20000.DEX ROW ID AS transactionId, Trim([USWHPSTD]) AS enteredBy, dbo GL20000.LSTDTEDT AS enteredDateTime, dbo GL20000.Tag AS tags FROM dbo GL00100 INNER JOIN dbo GL20000 ON dbo GL00100.ACTINDX dbo_GL20000.ACTINDX WHERE (((dbo_GL20000.JRNENTRY)<>28)) ORDER BY dbo GL20000.DEX ROW ID;

(NOTE that this query removes a few journal entries that relate to non-financial transactions posted to the GL.)

And so, the queries take the information from the Microsoft Access database TWO_AccountingSystem.accdb that mimics the Microsoft SQL Server data for you for this prototype and converts the proprietary Microsoft Dynamics database table and field names to the Engine B Common Data Model (CDM) table and field names in the Microsoft Access database TWO_Local_Iteration4.accdb to make this information available for this prototype.

Fundamentally, what is going on is that accounting system data is being out into a standard model so that standard software can be used to work on the data (as contrast to having to create a separate application for each different accounting system that exists).


 $^{^{17}}$ glDetail XBRL instance, http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/iteration2-instance-glDetail.xml



1.8. STEP 8: Accounting System Data in CDM Database

This step mimics taking what would exist in the Microsoft Dynamics environment by putting the accounting system MSSQL database tables into Microsoft Access and then creating queries that enable you to look at The World Online data tables and fields using the standard CDM database tables and fields within a Microsoft Access database¹⁸ (TWO_Local_Iteration4.accdb). All further processing will occur within this Microsoft Access database. The accounting system database tables are converted to the Engine B Common Data Model (CDM) in this same database.

¹⁸ Microsoft Access database with CDM data from accounting system, http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/TWO Local Iteration4.zip



This Microsoft Access database should run on any computer that has Microsoft Access installed. I have not tried to run this using the Microsoft Access runtime.

1.9. STEP 9: Report Writer

All prior processes enable all of the "leaf items" what would exist in a financial report to be generated in the XBRL format. This includes the chart of accounts which has been mapped to XBRL concepts and grouped by those concepts which provide real account balance information and the work tags which are XBRL concepts which categorize the changes to each account.

Saying this another way, the chart of accounts represents the detailed line items of a financial report. However, we also need the high-level branch items of the financial report (i.e. totals and subtotals) in the XBRL-based report. For that, we need to go back to the XBRL taxonomy¹⁹. The XBRL taxonomy has both the leaf nodes and the branch node information and the relationship between leaves and branches:

¹⁹ Basic view of XBRL taxonomy, http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/mini ModelStructure.html

| Line | Label | Object Class | Period Type | Balance | Report ElementName |
|------|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|--|
| 1 | 1110 - Statement - Balance Sheet | Network | | | http://www.xbrlsite.com/mini/role/BalanceSheet |
| 2 | Balance Sheet [Abstract] | Abstract | | | mini:BalanceSheetAbstract |
| 3 | Assets [Roll Up] | Abstract | | | mini:AssetsRollUp |
| 4 | Current Assets [Roll Up] | Abstract | | | mini:CurrentAssetsRollUp |
| 5 | Cash and Cash Equivalents | Concept (Monetar | | | mini:CashAndCashEquivalents |
| 6 | Receivables | | Leafs | ŧ | mini:Receivables |
| 7 | Inventories | Concept (Monetar | | t | mini:Inventories |
| 8 | Current Assets | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Debit | mini:CurrentAssets |
| 9 | Noncurrent Assets [Roll Up] | Abstract | | | mini:NoncurrentAssetsRollUp |
| 10 | Property, Plant and Equipment | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Debit | mini:PropertyPlantAndEquipment |
| 11 | Noncurrent Assets | Concer | As Of | Debit | mini:NoncurrentAssets |
| 12 | Assets | Concept (Monetary, | _ | | mini:Assets |
| 13 | Liabilities and Equity [Roll Up] | Abstract | Bran | ich | mini:LiabilitiesAndEquityRollUp |
| 14 | Liabilities [Roll Up] | Abstract | | | mini:LiabilitiesRollUp |
| 15 | Current Liabilities [Roll Up] | Abstract | | | mini:CurrentLiabilitiesRollUp |
| 16 | Accounts Payable | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Credit | mini:AccountsPayable |
| 17 | Current Liabilities | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Credit | mini:CurrentLiabilities |
| 18 | Noncurrent Liabilities [Roll Up] | Abstract | | | mini:NoncurrentLiabilitiesRollUp |
| 19 | Long-term Debt | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Credit | mini:LongtermDebt |
| 20 | Noncurrent Liabilities | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Credit | mini:NoncurrentLiabilities |
| 21 | Liabilities | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Credit | mini:Liabilities |
| 22 | Equity [Roll Up] | Abstract | | | mini:EquityRollUp |
| 23 | Retained Earnings | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Credit | mini:RetainedEarnings |
| 24 | Equity | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Credit | mini:Equity |
| 25 | Liabilities and Equity | Concept (Monetary) | As Of | Credit | mini:LiabilitiesAndEquity |

Note that the leaves/branches are easy for humans to read in the XBRL presentation relations; however, they are best read by the XBRL calculation relations and XBRL definition relations for actual processing by machine-based processes.

This task is hard coded by me for this prototype given my limitations in programming skills. A skilled programmer can easily achieve what is necessary.

The module "BuildXBRLInstanceFacts" in the Microsoft Access database "xbrl-gl-ImportAndFactsGeneration.accdb" has the SQL queries that are used to generate information for facts that represent the totals and subtotals in the XBRL instance being generated. Here is one example SQL query which is used to compute the subtotal (branch) mini:CurrentAssets from its leaves mini:CashAndCashEquivalents, mini:Receivables, and mini:Inventories:

"INSERT INTO Facts (ReportingEntityAspect, CalendarPeriodAspect, ConceptAspect, FactValue, Units, Rounding, ContextRef, UnitsRef) SELECT ReportingEntityAspect, 'mini:CurrentAssets' TransactionPeriod, AS GeneralLedgerAccountCode, Sum(Amount) AS SumOfAmount, Units, Rounding, ContextRef, UnitsRef FROM grySubtotalsOpeningBalances WHERE (GeneralLedgerAccountCode 'mini:CashAndCashEquivalents' OR GeneralLedgerAccountCode = 'mini:Receivables' 'mini:Inventories') GeneralLedgerAccountCode = OR GROUP ReportingEntityAspect, TransactionPeriod, 'mini:CurrentAssets', Units, Rounding, ContextRef, UnitsRef;"

Note that this process must be done correctly using pre-close information or postclose information depending upon which line items you are working with.


1.10. STEP 10: Import Leaves and Branches to XBRL Instance Creator

Note that I have a PROTOTYPE that imports information from XBRL GL (i.e. rather than the CDM XBRL format), generates the leaves and branches and other information that ends up as XBRL facts, and outputs an XBRL instance. See xbrl-gl-

JournalEntries-Instance.zip²⁰. Due to my limited programming skills, I cannot make this work dynamically (i.e. you change the XBRL taxonomy, the fact generation changes to reflect the XBRL taxonomy changes.

| Main Menu | |
|--|---|
| | Record to Report to Analysis (Record to Report Plus!) |
| | Build Fact Table |
| Import Transactions (XBRL Global Ledger) | |

Next, information from the CDM (i.e. summarized trial balance information, the leaf information) and the computation of totals and subtotals (i.e. the branch information) is imported into a tool used to generate XBRL-based financial reports²¹:



Beginning balances, ending balances, and changes are all imported from the CDM database tables using some software application. The result is a set of facts that use the base XBRL taxonomy created in STEP 2. The XBRL concepts and XBRL work tags were used to summarize the details and generate the account information and the XBRL taxonomy information and leaf facts are used to generate the subtotals and totals. This results in a set of facts which makes up the XBRL instance:

²⁰ Import and fact creation from previous example that works,

 $[\]underline{http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/xbrl-gl-JournalEntries-Instance.zip}$

²¹ Microsoft Access database (TWO_Local_Iteration2.accdb),

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/TWO Local Iteration2.zip

| Key - | ReportingEntityAspect - | CalendarPeriodAspect - | ConceptAspect | - FactValue - | Units + | Rounding | ContextRe |
|-------|---|-------------------------|---|---------------|-------------|----------|-----------|
| 5567 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2019-12-31 | mini:AccountsPayable | 1595349.42 | iso4217:USD | 2 | I-2019 |
| 5568 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2019-12-31 | mini:CashAndCashEquivalents | 398937.76 | iso4217:USD | 2 | I-2019 |
| 5569 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2019-12-31 | mini:Inventories | 467010.20 | Iso4217:USD | 2 | I-2019 |
| 5570 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2019-12-31 | mini:LongtermDebt | 361285.69 | iso4217:USD | 2 | I-2019 |
| 5571 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2019-12-31 | mini:PropertyPlantAndEquipment | 1266995.32 | iso4217:USD | 2 | I-2019 |
| 5572 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2019-12-31 | mini:Receivables | 1231338.47 | iso4217:USD | 2 | I-2019 |
| 5573 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2019-12-31 | mini:RetainedEarnings | 1407646.64 | iso4217:USD | 2 | I-2019 |
| 5574 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-12-31 | mini:AccountsPayable | 2689452.31 | iso4217:USD | 2 | I-2020 |
| 5575 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-12-31 | mini:CashAndCashEquivalents | -648551.94 | iso4217:USD | 2 | I-2020 |
| 5576 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-12-31 | mini:Inventories | 451842.19 | iso4217:USD | 2 | I-2020 |
| 5577 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-12-31 | mini:LongtermDebt | 338349.05 | iso4217:USD | 2 | I-2020 |
| 5578 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-12-31 | mini:PropertyPlantAndEquipment | 1245567.16 | iso4217:USD | 2 | I-2020 |
| 5579 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-12-31 | mini:Receivables | 2035468.27 | iso4217:USD | 2 | I-2020 |
| 5580 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-12-31 | mini:RetainedEarnings | 56524.32 | iso4217:USD | 2 | I-2020 |
| 5581 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | mini:AdditionalLongtermBorrowings | 10554.36 | iso4217:USD | 2 | D-2020 |
| 5582 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | mini:AdditionalLongtermBorrowings2 | 10554.36 | iso4217:USD | 2 | D-2020 |
| 5585 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | mini:CapitalAdditionsPropertyPlantAndEquipment | 0 | iso4217:USD | 2 | D-2020 |
| 5586 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | mini:CapitalAdditionsPropertyPlantAndEquipment2 | 0 | iso4217:USD | 2 | D-2020 |
| 5587 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | mini:CollectionReceivables | 2072035.32 | iso4217:USD | 2 | D-2020 |
| 5588 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | mini:CollectionReceivables2 | 1799918.56 | iso4217:USD | 2 | D-2020 |
| 5589 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | mini:CostsOfSales2 | 886041.18 | iso4217:USD | 2 | D-2020 |
| 5590 | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | mini:DepreciationAndAmortization2 | 21428.16 | iso4217:USD | 2 | D-2020 |
| | 30810137d58f76b84afd http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442 | | mini:PaymentOfAccountsPayable | 3096588.38 | iso4217:USD | | D-2020 |

This report production process is currently achieved using the provided Microsoft Access database application²² (XBRLCreator-V05-iteration2.accdb) which should work on computers that run Microsoft Windows 10 and have Microsoft Access installed.

However, there are two XBRL processors (XBRL Cloud's XRun and UBmatrix's XPE 4.0) that cannot be provided as part of this prototype because those applications are licensed commercial software. XRun and XPE are used to verify the XBRL-based report to be certain it is a properly functioning logical system and properly formatted XBRL technical syntax using my method²³.

Commercial licenses for this software could be purchased or other software which provides similar functionality could be used. Alternatively, open source software such as Arelle²⁴ could be used; however, Arelle tends to be harder to use and does provide all of the necessary functionality.

Pesseract²⁵ can be used to verify certain aspects of the XBRL-based financial report such as the fundamental accounting concepts, model structure, disclosure mechanics rules, and reporting checklist rules. However, Pesseract is only a working proof of concept and is not a fully compliant XBRL processor or XBRL formula processor at this time.

Pacioli²⁶, which is a logic engine that is currently not yet complete, can also provide certain functionality to help verify that the XBRL instance and XBRL taxonomy generated are properly functioning.

The current raw XBRL instance generated as part of iteration #4 loads into Pesseract successfully without error and verifies some aspects of the XBRL syntax, model structure, type-subtype associations, fundamental accounting concept relations consistency cross checks, disclosure mechanics, and reporting checklist. See the screen shot below:

²² Report generation Microsoft Access database,

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/XBRLCreator-V05-iteration2.zip


²³ Understanding Method (Abridged),

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2020/Library/UnderstandingMethod Abridged.pdf

²⁴ Arelle.org, https://arelle.org/arelle/

²⁵ Pesseract, http://pesseract.azurewebsites.net

²⁶ Pacioli, http://xbrl.squarespace.com/journal/2020/9/15/pacioli.html



Any XBRL processor, XBRL formula processor, and supplemental processing should provide the same result which proves that the XBRL instance and XBRL taxonomy generated from this prototype are properly functioning logical systems that are consistent, complete, and precise per the best practices method used to verify this prototype.

1.11. STEP 11: Report Generation of XBRL-based Financial Reports

The output of the report generation process (XBRLCreator-V05-iteration4.accdb²⁷) is a variety of XBRL-based financial reports which will be explained below. Each of the reports uses exactly the same XBRL taxonomy, XBRL formula based rules, and all other supporting base XBRL taxonomy to verify that the report is created correctly. Each of the XBRL instances is important to understand.

Raw XBRL instance:


First, a raw XBRL instance²⁸ is generated. Raw XBRL is machine readable however it can be effectively converted to many other formats using software based processes. For example, the raw XBRL instance (and any of the other Inline XBRL formats for

²⁷ XBRLCreator-V05-iteration4.accdb.

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/XBRLCreator-V05-iteration4.zip

²⁸ Raw XBRL instance, http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/instance.xml

that matter) can be converted into a human-readable HTML based representation such as this auto generated from only the XBRL instance and supporting XBRL taxonomy by XBRL Cloud²⁹: (called the XBRL Cloud Evidence Package)



The version above provides both a "component perspective" which allows the individual fragments of the report to be worked with and a "overview perspective" which allows multiple fragments to be worked with from different perspectives at the same time. For example, one view provided is an HTML version of the entire report which is human readable and provides visibility to the individual pieces that make up the report (rendering summary³⁰).

Unformatted, auto generated Inline XBRL instance:

The following format is an Inline XBRL financial report that simply serializes the facts into a list³¹:

²⁹ XBRL Cloud Evidence Package,

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/evidence-package/

³⁰ Rendering Summary, http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/evidence-package/contents/RenderingSummary.html

³¹ Unformatted, auto generated Inline XBRL,

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/instance-generated.html

| Concept Aspect | Period Aspect | Fact Value |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| mini:CollectionReceivables2 | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | 1,799,919 |
| mini:PropertyPlantAndEquipment | 2020-12-31 | 1,245,567 |
| mini:Receivables | 2020-12-31 | 2,035,468 |
| mini:RetainedEarnings | 2020-12-31 | 56,524 |
| mini:AdditionalLongtermBorrowings | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | 10,554 |
| mini:AdditionalLongtermBorrowings2 | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | 10,554 |
| mini:CapitalAdditionsPropertyPlantAndEquipment | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | 0 |
| mini:RepaymentLongtermBorrowings | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | 33,491 |
| mini:CollectionReceivables | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | 2,072,035 |
| mini:CashAndCashEquivalents | 2020-12-31 | (648,552) |
| mini:CostsOfSales2 | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | 886,041 |
| mini:DepreciationAndAmortization2 | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | 21,428 |
| mini:PaymentOfAccountsPayable | 2020-01-01 2020-12-31 | 3,096,588 |
| mipi:PaymontOfAccountsPaxable2~~~~~ | ~2020=01=01+42020~12=31~~~~ | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ |

This report is not particularly useful to humans, but it is Inline XBRL and usable by machines.

Formatted, auto generated Inline XBRL instance:

Another interesting format is Inline XBRL that mimics the XBRL Cloud auto generated formatted raw XBRL instance³²:

| | Period | [Axis] |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Balance Sheet [Abstract] | 2020-12-31 | 2019-12-31 |
| Balance Sheet [Abstract] | | |
| Assets [Roll Up] | | |
| Current Assets [Roll Up] | | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | (648,551.94) | 398,937.76 |
| Receivables | 2,035,468.27 | 1,231,338.47 |
| Inventories | 451,842.19 | 467,010.20 |
| Current Assets | 1,838,758.52 | 2,097,286.43 |
| Noncurrent Assets [Roll Up] | | |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | 1,245,567.16 | 1,266,995.32 |
| Noncurrent Assets | 1,245,567.16 | 1,266,995.32 |
| Assets | 3,084,325.68 | 3,364,281.75 |
| | \$\ | ~~~ ~~~ |

The point of this is to show that Inline XBRL can actually be auto generated and does not necessarily need to be hand formatted. You do loose certain formatting control if such an approach is used, but it saves time and money if you are not concerned about "pixel perfect" presentation of financial information.

"Pixel Perfect" formatted, auto generated Inline XBRL instance:

What amounts to 100% control over rendering can be achieved if the reported facts are mapped into an Inline XBRL page in a precise location by human effort. Without human effort, it is unlikely that auto generated financial reports can be created that will satisfy every individual accountant who likely preferers different formatting

Formatted, auto generated Inline XBRL, http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/instance.html

preferences. For example, here is an example of a pixel perfect Inline XBRL-based financial report³³:

| Balance Sheet ABC Company, Inc. (See accompanying notes to the financial statements.) | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| (in US Dollars) | As of December 31, 2020 | As of December 31, 2019 | | | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | |
| Current Assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$-648551.94 | \$398937.76 | | | | | | |
| Receivables | 2035468.27 | 1231338.47 | | | | | | |
| Inventories | 451842.19 | 467010.20 | | | | | | |
| Current ass | ets 1838758.52 | 2097286.43 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Noncurrent Assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Property, plant, and equipment | 1245567.16 | 1266995.32 | | | | | | |
| Noncurrent ass | ets 1245567.16 | 1266995.32 | | | | | | |
| Ass | ets \$3084325.68 | \$3364281.75 | | | | | | |
| Ass | ets \$3084325.68 | \$3364281.75 | | | | | | |

The pixel perfect rendering is created by manually creating the HTML, mapping a fact ID to a slot in the HTML representation, and then generating the Inline XBRL that is XHTML-based:

| ID | DisclosureName | HTML_Cell • | Sequence - | Row | of Co | olumn |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|------------|-----|-------|-------|
| | 32 BalanceSheet2 | $<\!$ | 1.1 | | 1 | |
| | 33 BalanceSheet2 | $<\!td\ style=\!text-align:left; font-weight:bold; font-style: italic'>Current\ Assets: <\!/td><\!td\ style=\!text-align:left; font-weight:bold'>\&\#160; <\!/td>\&\#160; <\!/td>\ style=\!text-align:left; font-weight:bold'>\&\#160; <\!/td>\&\#160; <\!/td>\&\#160; <\!/td>\ style=\!text-align:left; font-weight:bold'>\&\#160; <\!/td>\ style=\!$ | 1.1 | | 2 | |
| | 34 BalanceSheet2 | Cash and cash equivalents | 0 | | 3 | |
| | 35 BalanceSheet2 | \${% FACTKEY=5575 %} | 0 | | 3 | |
| | 36 BalanceSheet2 | \$(% FACTKEY=5568 %) | 0 | | 3 | |
| | 37 BalanceSheet2 | Receivables | 0 | | 4 | |
| | 38 BalanceSheet2 | {% FACTKEY=5579 %} | 0 | | 4 | |
| | 39 BalanceSheet2 | {% FACTKEY=5572 %} | 0 | | 4 | |
| | 40 BalanceSheet2 | Inventories | 0 | | 5 | |
| | 41 BalanceSheet2 | {% FACTKEY=5576 %} | 0 | | 5 | |
| | 42 BalanceSheet2 | {% FACTKEY=5569 %} | 0 | | 5 | |
| | 43 BalanceSheet2 | Current assets | 0 | | 6 | |
| | 44 BalanceSheet2 | {% FACTKEY=5611 %} | 0 | | 6 | |
| | 45 BalanceSheet2 | <dd style="text-align:right;BORDER-TOP: black 1px solid;BORDER-BOTTOM: black 1px solid;vertical-align:bottom;">{% FACTKEY=5603 %}</dd> | 0 | | 6 | |

This functionality is provided in the Microsoft Access database XBRL instance creation tool (XBRLCreator-V05-iteration2.accdb).

Note an updated and improved version of the above Microsoft Access database has been provided that is also open source and free to download, use, and reverse engineer³⁴.

³³ Pixel Perfect Inline XBRL, http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/2021/prototypes/recordToReport/instance-RENDERED.html

³⁴ Free Open Source Tool for Creating Quality XBRL-based Digital Financial Reports, http://xbrl.squarespace.com/journal/2020/12/8/free-open-source-tool-for-creating-quality-xbrl-based-digita.html

1.12. STEP 12: XBRL-based Financial Report Verification

The final task is to verify that the XBRL-based financial report is both (a) a properly functioning logical system and (b) is conveying the information intended by the creator of the XBRL-based financial report. This is achieved by a combination of manual and automated processes to prove that the information conveyed is consistent, complete, and precise. This process is documented in the *Method of Implementing a Standard Digital Financial Report Using the XBRL Syntax*³⁵.

The seven minimum steps must be performed in order to be complete and are summarized by this easy-to-understand dashboard that is provided by Pesseract:




All of these verification tasks is necessary to verify that a financial report is a properly functioning logical system that is consistent, complete, and precise. If these tasks are not performed using automated processes; then the tasks must be performed manually. None of these tasks can simply be ignored because each performs a role in the verification of the overall report quality. These necessary capabilities are summarized below.

XBRL technical syntax verification:

XBRL International provides a conformance suite that helps software engineers create software that serializes the XBRL technical syntax in a manner that is consistent with the XBRL technical specification. That conformance suite has approximately 500 tests of the XBRL 2.1 specification, 1,000 tests of the XBRL Dimensions specification, and a similar number (but I don't know the number) for XBRL formula.

These tests are 100% automated and accounting professionals don't really need to concern themselves with this level of testing is it "just happens correctly" in software. These tests are of the technical syntax of the XBRL format for the most part but do include mathematical checks of the report itself. The verification result might look something like this:



Roll up mathematics of report:

³⁵ Method of Implementing a Standard Digital Financial Report Using the XBRL Syntax, http://www.xbrlsite.com/2020/Theory/SBRM-Method.pdf

| 1 | Extended Link [http://www.xbrlsite.com/mini/role/BalanceSheet] | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|------|--------|-----|--------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|------|--|--|
| 2 | Context I-2019 [2019-12-31 -] | | | | | | | | | | |
| c-equal | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Unit U-USD | | | | | | | | | | |
| u-equal | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Assets | | debit | 2 | 0 | 3364281.75 | 3,364,281.75 | Instance | ок | | |
| | Current Assets | 1.0 | debit | 2 | 0 | 2097286.43 | 2,097,286.43 | Instance | ок | | |
| | Cash and Cash Equivalents | 1.0 | debit | 2 | | 398937.76 | 398,937.76 | Instance | | | |
| | Receivables | 1.0 | debit | 2 | | 1231338.47 | 1,231,338.47 | Instance | | | |
| | Inventories | 1.0 | debit | 2 | | 467010.20 | 467,010.2 | Instance | | | |
| | Noncurrent Assets | 1.0 | debit | 2 | 0 | 1266995.32 | 1,266,995.32 | Instance | ок | | |
| | Property, Plant and Equipment | 1.0 | debit | 2 | | 1266995.32 | 1,266,995.32 | Instance | | | |
| | Liabilities and Equity | | credit | 2 | 0 | 3364281.75 | 3,364,281.75 | Instance | ок | | |
| | Liabilities | 1.0 | credit | 2 | 0 | 1956635.11 | 1,956,635.11 | Instance | ок | | |
| | Current Liabilities | 1.0 | credit | 2 | 0 | 1595349.42 | 1,595,349.42 | Instance | ок | | |
| | Accounts Payable | 1.0 | credit | 2 | | 1595349.42 | 1,595,349.42 | Instance | | | |
| | Noncurrent Liabilities | 1.0 | credit | 2 | 0 | 361285.69 | 361,285.69 | Instance | ок | | |
| | Long-term Debt | 1.0 | credit | 2 | | 361285.69 | 361,285.69 | Instance | | | |
| | Equity | 1.0 | credit | 2 | 0 | 1407646.64 | 1,407,646.64 | Instance | ок | | |
| ~~ | Batained Earnings | 4000 | | ~~~ | ~~~~~~ | ~~~~1407646_64/ | ~~~1,40~46/~ | Various / / | ~~~~ | | |

Roll forward mathematics of report:

| RollForward_RF1 (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$CashAndCashEquivalents_BalanceStart=398937.76 + \$NetCashFlow=-1047489.70 = \$CashAndCashEquivalents_BalanceEnd=-648551.94 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---|
| RollForward_RF2 (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$Equity_BalanceStart=1407646.64 + \$NetIncomeLoss=-1351122.32 = \$Equity_BalanceEnd=56524.32 |
| RollForward_RF3 (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$CashAndCashEquivalents_BalanceStart=398937.76 + \$CollectionReceivables=2072035.32 - \$PaymentOfAccountsPayable=3096588.38 + \$AdditionalLongtermBorrowings=10554.36 - \$RepaymentLongtermBorrowings=339491.00 - \$CapitalAdditionsPropertyPlantAndEquipment=0 = \$CashAndCashEquivalents_BalanceEnd=-648551.94 |
| RollForward_RF4 (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$Receivables_BalanceStart=1231338.47 + \$Sales=2604048.36 - \$CollectionReceivables2=1799918.56 = \$Receivables_BalanceEnd=2035468.27 |
| RollForward_RF5 (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$Inventories_BalanceStart=467010.20 + \$PurchasesOfInventoryForSale=870873.17 - \$CostsOfSales=886041.18 = \$Inventories_BalanceEnd=451842.19 |
| RollForward_RF6 (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$PropertyPlantAndEquipment_BalanceStart=1266995.32 + \$CapitalAdditionsPropertyPlantAndEquipment=0 - \$DepreciationAndAmortization=21428.16 = \$PropertyPlantAndEquipment_BalanceEnd=1245567.16 |
| RollForward_RF7 (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$AccountsPayable_BalanceStart=1595349.42 + \$PurchasesOfInventoryForSale2=2983739.70 - \$PaymentOfAccountsPayable2=1889636.81 = \$AccountsPayable_BalanceEnd=2689452.31 |
| RollForward_RF8 (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$LongtermDebt_BalanceStart=361285.69 + \$AdditionalLongtermBorrowings2=10554.36 - \$RepaymentLongtermBorrowings2=33491.00 = \$LongtermDebt_BalanceEnd=338349.05 |
| RollForward_RF9 (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$RetainedEarnings_BalanceStart=1407646.64 + \$NetIncomeLoss=-1351122.32 = \$RetainedEarnings_BalanceEnd=56524.32 |

Model structure verification:

The mechanical relations between the pieces of XBRL that are used in the representation of information must be logical. The following matrix shows the associations between the representation artifact categories within the report and are tested against the logic of such relations. GREEN means everything is OK, ORANGE or RED means errors, YELLOW means what is being done is permissible but not recommended:

| Child | Parent | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---------|-------|------|--------|-----------|----------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | Network | Table | Axis | Member | LineItems | Abstract | Concept | | | | |
| [Network] | 0 | (8) | 0 | - 0 | 0 | (3) | . 0 | | | | |
| [Table] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| [Axis] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| [Member] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| [LineItems] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| [Abstract] | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | | | | |
| [Concept] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 32 | | | | |


The report created for Iteration #4 is consistent with expectation.

Type-subtype associations verification:

Report items are association with other report items in specific and permissible ways. For example, "Property, plant, and equipment" is known to be a noncurrent asset, is represented in the base XBRL taxonomy this iteration is using as a noncurrent asset, and is expected to be used as a noncurrent asset.

If the line item "Property, plant and equipment" is used as, say, a Current asset; that use would be inconsistent with expectation. Because accountants are permitted to move the model around (i.e. a financial report is not a static form) those same accountants have a responsibility to follow the rules as to what is and what is not permissible when they make such changes within an XBRL taxonomy. Type-subtype association verification is used to control these sorts of movements.

Other terms used to describe type-subtype associations include "wider-narrower" relations and "general-special" relations and "class-subclass" relations. Here is an example of what a type-subtype verification result might look like that checks all the fragments of the MINI financial reporting scheme:



Fundamental accounting concept relations continuity cross checks verification:

The fundamental accounting concept relations continuity cross checks verifies that the high-level accounting relationships are being followed and that information


represented within a report is consistent with those well understood high level associations between financial concepts. Below you see a verification result which points out that all such associations are consistent with expectation:

| FAC_BS1_BalanceSheetBalances (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$Assets=3084325.68 = \$LiabilitiesAndEquity=3084325.68 |
|---|-----------|---|
| FAC_BS1_BalanceSheetBalances (evaluation 2) | satisfied | \$Assets=3364281.75 = \$LiabilitiesAndEquity=3364281.75 |
| FAC_BS2_AssetsEqualsCurrentPlusNoncurrentAssets (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$Assets=3084325.68 = \$AssetsCurrent=1838758.52 + \$AssetsNoncurrent=1245567.16 |
| FAC_BS2_AssetsEqualsCurrentPlusNoncurrentAssets (evaluation 2) | satisfied | \$Assets=3364281.75 = \$AssetsCurrent=2097286.43 + \$AssetsNoncurrent=1266995.32 |
| FAC_BS3_LiabilitiesEqualsCurrentPlusNoncurrentLiabilities (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$Liabilities=3027801.36 = \$LiabilitiesCurrent=2689452.31 + \$LiabilitiesNoncurrent=338349.05 |
| FAC_BS3_LiabilitiesEqualsCurrentPlusNoncurrentLiabilities (evaluation 2) | satisfied | \$Liabilities=1956635.11 = \$LiabilitiesCurrent=1595349.42 + \$LiabilitiesNoncurrent=361285.69 |
| FAC_BS4_LiabilitiesAndEquityEqualsLiabilitiesPlusEquity (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$LiabilitiesAndEquity=3084325.68 = \$Liabilities=3027801.36 + \$Equity=56524.32 |
| FAC_BS4_LiabilitiesAndEquityEqualsLiabilitiesPlusEquity (evaluation 2) | satisfied | \$LiabilitiesAndEquity=3364281.75 = \$Liabilities=1956635.11 + \$Equity=1407646.64 |
| FAC_IS1_GrossProfitFoots (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$GrossProfitLoss=1718007.18 = (\$RevenuesNet=2604048.36 - \$CostOfSales=886041.18) |
| FAC_IS2_OperatingIncomeFoots (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$OperatingIncomeLoss=-1353288.25 = (\$GrossProfitLoss=1718007.18 - \$OperatingExpenses=3071295.43) |
| FAC_IS3_IncomeLossFromContinuingOperationsBeforeTaxFoots (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$IncomeLossFromContinuingOperationsBeforeTax = -1351122.32 = (\$OperatingIncomeLoss = -1353288.25 + \$NonoperatingIncomeExpenses = 2165.93) |
| FAC_IS4_NetIncomeLossFoots (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$NetIncomeLoss=1351122.32=(\$IncomeLossFromContinuingOperationsBeforeTax=-1351122.32-\$IncomeTaxExpenseBenefit=0) |
| FAC_CF1_NetCashFlowEqualsOperatingInvestingAndFinancing (evaluation 1) | satisfied | \$CashFlowNet=-1047489.70 = \$CashFlowProvidedByUsedInOperatingActivitiesNet=-1024553.06 + \$CashFlowsProvidedByUsedInInvestingActivitiesNet=-22936.64 + \$CashFlowsProvidedByUsedInFinancingActivitiesNet=0.00 |

These fundamental accounting concept relations continuity cross checks can become a bit trickier in two specific situations. First, when economic entities have different sets of such high level relations adjustments as to which high level relations are necessary. The notion of different "reporting styles" resolves this issue, using different sets of rules for different categories of economic entities. Second, if an economic entity does not report a specific high-level line item it becomes necessary to derive such line items. For example, it is common for the line item "Noncurrent assets" to not be included within balance sheets. However, few balance sheets do not report "Current assets" or "Assets" (the total of current and noncurrent assets). Accountants understand that "Assets = Current assets + Noncurrent assets". So, if "Noncurrent assets" is not explicitly reported then the value of that line item can be derived using concepts that were reported, the rule, and deductive logic.

Disclosure mechanics relations verification:

An entire financial report can be broken down into fragments. One level of fragment is the disclosure; the "stuff" that gets disclosed within a financial report. Disclosures have common characteristics that do not change. For example, a balance sheet is comprised of two roll ups: "Assets" and "Liabilities and Equity". Balance sheets are not roll forwards. Roll ups always have exactly one total. Additionally, the "Assets" roll up always has a specific concept such as "mini:Assets" that is used to represent the total of that roll up in Iteration #4(a). Similarly, this is true for other roll ups. Effectively, there are patterns within the disclosures provided within XBRL-based financial reports. Disclosure mechanics relations rules articulate these relations and disclosure mechanics verification make sure reports created are consistent with such rules. Here is an example of what a disclosure mechanics verification report might look like:



Reporting rules (a.k.a. disclosure checklist) verification:

Financial reporting rules specify that certain disclosures are always required: balance sheet, income statement, statement of cash flow, and changes in equity are always required. A basis of reporting is always requiring (i.e. a report would make no sense without this disclosure), significant accounting policies is always required, nature of business is generally always required, as is revenue recognition policy whether or not an economic entity does not have revenue (i.e. how do you know you don't have revenue if you don't have a revenue recognition policy?).

Other times disclosures are required if specific line items are reported. For example, if the line item "Inventories" is reported on the balance sheet then the subcomponents of inventory need to be reported.

Other such reporting rules make up the reporting rules verification. Here is an example of what a reporting rules verification report might look like:



Manual "To do" verification:

There are two reasons manual verification must be performed. The first reason is because a verification task cannot be automated. The second reason is that a task could be automated if the proper rules were written; however, those machine-readable rules do not yet exist.

If a process is created and has been checked to make sure the process is working properly and nothing changes, manual checks can be reduced. But if a change is introduced into the system, the risk of error increases. Not looking for mistakes is not an effective strategy for creating high-quality XBRL-based financial reports. It is the responsibility of professional accountants to create true and fair representations of their financial reports whether the consumer of such reports is a human or a machine such as a software application.

1.13. STEP 13: Common Audit Data Schedules

Similar to how the CDM provides a standard model for an accounting system, the Engine B Audit Common Data Model³⁶ provide common audit schedules. Common audit data schedules are not currently part of Iteration #4. The audit schedules simply provide additional details that supplement the core financial report.

| # | Category | Entity | Process (Standard) | Description |
|-------|----------|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| 1 | Entity | apPaymentData | AP | Accounts payable payment details by payment made to supplier. |
| 2 | Entity | arPaymentData | AR | Accounts receivable payment details by customer payment received by customer. |
| 3 | Entity | bankAccountMasterData | GL | Bank account master data by bank account. |
| 4 | Entity | businessUnit | GL | Business unit master data by business unit. |
| 5 | Entity | chartOfAccounts | GL | Chart of accounts information by general ledger account. |
| 6 | Entity | customerMasterData | AR | Customer master data by customer record per accounts receivable subsystem. |
| 7 | Entity | employeeMasterData | HR | Employee master data by employee record. |
| 8 | Entity | fixedAssetAdditions | FA | Fixed asset additions schedule for each fixed asset addition by fixed asset identifier per fixed asset master data. |
| 9 | Entity | fixedAssetDepreciation | FA | Fixed asset depreciation schedule by fixed asset identifier per fixed asset master data. |
| 10 | Entity | fixedAssetMasterData | FA | Fixed asset master data by fixed asset record. |
| 11 | Entity | fixedAssetRemovals | FA | Fixed asset removals schedule for each fixed asset removal by fixed asset identifier per fixed asset master data. |
| 12 | Entity | fixedAssetValuation | FA | Fixed asset valuation |
| 13 | Entity | glDetail | GL | General ledger detail transactions by journal entry for each general journal entry. |
| ~~J4~ | Entity | goodsRevivedData | AP | Gords received from supplierintormation, peraccounts was ble |

³⁶ Engine B, A guide to the Audit Common Data Model, https://engineb.hubspotpagebuilder.com/audit-common-data-model-guide