1.Member Arrangement Pattern Examples

The purpose of this section is to provide physical example of each of the member arraignment patterns and to explain the subtilties and nuances of each pattern.

This section provides examples for specific member arrangement patterns¹.

1.1. Whole-part

A *whole-part* member arrangement pattern is equivalent in terms of meaning to a roll up. However, rather than being represented using a set of Concepts within a [Line Items], a whole-part member arrangement pattern is represented using the a set of [Member]s within an [Axis].

A whole-part represents something composed exactly of their parts and nothing else; the sum of the parts is equal to the whole. In terms of meaning conveyed, a whole-part relation is equivalent to a [Roll Up]. The only difference is representation syntax.

1.1.1.Visual Example

Component: (Network and Table)								
Network	rk Director Compensation							
Table	Director Compensation [Table]							
Reporting Entity [Axis]		SAMP http://www.SampleCompany.com						
Period [Axis]		2010-01-01/2010-12-31		Ŷ				
Legal Entity [Axis]		Consolidated Entity [Member]		٢				
		Director [Axis]						
Director Compensation	[Line Items]	John Doe [Member]	Jane Doe [Member]	Directors, All [Member]				
Director [Hierarchy]								
Director, Salary		1,000	1,000	2,000				
Director, Bonuses		1,000	1,000	2,000				
Director, Fees		1,000	1,000	2,000				
Director, Options Grant	ed, at Fair Value	1,000	1,000	2,000				

1.1.2. Basic Automated Rendering

Iomponent: (Network and Table)								
Network	etwork Director Compensation							
Table	ible Director Compensation [Table]							
Reporting Entity [Axis]		SAMP http://www.SampleComp	SAMP http://www.SampleCompany.com					
Period [Axis]		2010-01-01/2010-12-31		Ŷ				
Legal Entity [Axis]		Consolidated Entity [Member]		Ŷ				
		Director [Axis]						
Director Compensation	[Line Items]	John Doe [Member]	Jane Doe [Member]	Directors, All [Member]				
Director [Hierarchy]								
Director, Salary		1,000	1,000	2,000				
Director, Bonuses		1,000 1,000		2,000				
Director, Fees		1,000	1,000	2,000				
Director, Options Grant	ed, at Fair Value	1,000 1,000 2,						

¹ Member arrangement pattern examples,

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/DigitalFinancialReporting/MemberArrangementPatterns/2017-05-07/

1.1.3. Report Elements and Model Structure

Example 1

Lab	el		Report Element Class	Period	Balance	Preferred Label Role	Name
~	Director	Compensation [Table]	[Table]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:DirectorCompensationTable
	✓ Lega	l Entity [Axis]	[Axis]	For Period		Standard Label	frm:LegalEntityAxis
	(Consolidated Entity [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	frm:ConsolidatedEntityMember
	v Dire	tor [Axis]	[Axis]	For Period		Standard Label	frm:DirectorAxis
	~ [Directors, All [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	frm:DirectorsAllMember
		John Doe [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern: JohnDoeMember
		Jane Doe [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:JaneDoeMember
	v Dire	tor Compensation [Line Items]	[LineItems]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:DirectorCompensationLineItems
	~ [Director [Hierarchy]	[Abstract]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:DirectorHierarchy
		Director, Salary	[Concept] Monetary	For Period	Credit	Standard Label	pattern:DirectorSalary
		Director, Bonuses	[Concept] Monetary	For Period	Credit	Standard Label	pattern:DirectorBonuses
		Director, Fees	[Concept] Monetary	For Period	Credit	Standard Label	pattern:DirectorFees
		Director, Options Granted, at Fair Value	[Concept] Monetary	For Period	Credit	Standard Label	pattern:DirectorOptionsGrantedAtFairValue

Example 2

-	Order	Data Type	Element Type	Period	Name
- Presentation View					
🚽 🧼 Director Compensation			Extended Link		
🚽 🔟 Director Compensation [Table]	0	String	Table	duration	DirectorCompensationTable
👻 🎰 Legal Entity [Axis]	1	String	Axis	duration	LegalEntityAxis
Consolidated Entity [Member]	1	String	Member	duration	ConsolidatedEntityMember
🚽 🎰 Director [Axis]	2	String	Axis	duration	DirectorAxis
 Directors, All [Member] 	1	String	Member	duration	DirectorsAllMember
🚽 🚯 Director Compensation [Line Items]	3	String	Abstract	duration	DirectorCompensationLineItems
👻 🕞 Director [Hierarchy]	1	String	Abstract	duration	DirectorHierarchy
 Director, Salary 	1	Monetary	Element	duration	DirectorSalary
 Director, Bonuses 	2	Monetary	Element	duration	DirectorBonuses
 Director, Fees 	3	Monetary	Element	duration	DirectorFees
Director, Options Granted, at Fair Value	4	Monetary	Element	duration	DirectorOptionsGrantedAtFairValue

1.1.4.Business Rules

Roll up total = sum of the facts for each [Member] or part of the whole which is also represented using a [Member], generally with [Domain].

1.1.5.Description

The example shows a *Hierarchy* of information about directors which differentiates each director using the Director [Axis]. See the [Hierarchy] concept arrangement pattern for more information.

1.1.6.Extension Points

The following are the logical extension points for a *Hierarchy* metapattern:

- Add new [Axis]
- Add new [Member] to [Axis]
- Add new concepts to [Line Items] of *Hierarchy*

1.2. Is-a

An *Is-a* member arrangement pattern is descriptive and differentiates one type or class of thing from some different type or class of thing; but the things do not add up to a whole.

1.2.1.Visual Example

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The following is a summary of events subsequent to the balance sheet date:

Description of subsequent event number 1 which relates to the loss of an uncollectable receivable and occurred on January 16, 2011.

Description of subsequent event number 2 which relates to the purchase of a business and occurred on February 1, 2011.

1.2.2. Basic Automated Rendering

Component: (Networ	k and Table)	Component: (Network and Table)							
Network	Subsequent Events								
Table	Subsequent Events [Table]								
Reporting Entity [Axis]		SAMP http://www.SampleComp	any.com						
Legal Entity [Axis]		Consolidated Entity [Member]							
Period [Axis]		2010-01-01/2010-12-31							
		Subsequent Event [Axis]							
Subsequent Event [Line	e Items]	Uncollected Receivable [Member]	Purchase of Business [Member]						
Subsequent Event [H	lierarchy]								
Subsequent Event, Description		Description of subsequent event number 1 which relates to the loss of an uncollectable receivable and occurred on January 16, 2011.	Description of subsequent event number 2 which relates to the purchase of a business and occurred on February 1, 2011.						
Subsequent Event, Date	e	2011-01-16	2011-02-01						

1.2.3. Report Elements and Model Structure

Example 1:

Label		Report Element Class	Period	Balance	Preferred Label Role	Name
✓ Subsequent	t Events [Table]	[Table]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:SubsequentEventsTable
🗸 Legal En	ntity [Axis]	[Axis]	For Period		Standard Label	frm:LegalEntityAxis
Cons	solidated Entity [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	frm:ConsolidatedEntityMember
🗸 Subsequ	uent Event [Axis]	[Axis]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:SubsequentEventAxis
Unco	ollected Receivable [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:UncollectedReceivableMember
Purd	hase of Business [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:PurchaseBusinessMember
🗸 Subsequ	uent Event [Line Items]	[LineItems]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:SubsequentEventLineItems
✓ Subs	sequent Event [Hierarchy]	[Abstract]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:SubsequentEventHierarchy
S	Subsequent Event, Description	[Concept] Text/String	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:SubsequentEventDescription
S	Subsequent Event, Date	[Concept] Date	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:SubsequentEventDate

Example 2:

MASTERING XBRL-BASED DIGITAL FINANCIAL REPORTING – PART 4: EXAMPLES AND SAMPLES – MEMBER ARRANGEMENT PATTERN EXAMPLES – CHARLES HOFFMAN, CPA

•	Element Type	Data Type	Period	Balance	Order	Name
👻 🕑 Presentation View						
🚽 🔷 Subsequent Events	Extended Link					
🚽 🔟 Subsequent Events [Table]	Table	String	duration	na	0	SubsequentEventsTable
👻 😳 Legal Entity [Axis]	Axis	String	duration	na	1	LegalEntityAxis
Consolidated Entity [Member]	Member	String	duration	na	1	ConsolidatedEntityMember
🚽 🎰 Subsequent Event [Axis]	Axis	String	duration	na	2	SubsequentEventAxis
Uncollected Receivable [Member]	Element	String	duration	na	1	UncollectedReceivableMember
 Purchase of Business [Member] 	Element	String	duration	na	2	PurchaseBusinessMember
👻 👧 Subsequent Event [Line Items]	Abstract	String	duration	na	3	SubsequentEventLineItems
👻 🕀 Subsequent Event [Hierarchy]	Abstract	String	duration	na	1	SubsequentEventHierarchy
 Subsequent Event, Description 	Element	String	duration	na	1	SubsequentEventDescription
 Subsequent Event, Date 	Element	Date	duration	na	2	SubsequentEventDate

1.2.4.Business Rules

None.

1.2.5.Description

The *Is-a* relation in the example above differentiates two different subsequent events that are disclosed. The two subsequent events are identified by the [Member] of the [Axis] "Subsequent Event [Axis]". The first event is "Uncollected Receivable [Member]", the second is "Purchase of Business [Member]". Each even provides two pieces of information, the "Subsequent Event, Description" and the "Subsequent Event, Date".

1.2.6.Extension Points

The following are extension points for a *Is-a* member arrangement pattern:

- Add new [Axis]
- Add new [Member] to [Axis]
- Add new concepts to the set of [Line Items] which provide information about the facts being differentiated by the [Member]s, for example in this case perhaps an "Amount" might be added

1.3. Nested whole-part relations

Consider the breakdown of sales by geographic area below. Note that the breakdown is by country, but that there is a subtotal for the country breakdown by region "Total North America" and "Total Europe". Then there is a grand total.

	2010	2009
	\$4,000	\$4,000
	2,000	2,000
Total North America	6,000	6,000
	2,000	2,000
	2,000	2,000
Total Europe	4,000	4,000
Total	\$10,000	\$10,000
	Total North America Total Europe Total	2010 \$4,000 2,000 Total North America 2,000 2,000 2,000 10tal Europe 4,000 Total S10,000

There are two approaches to representing this information. The first alternative is to represent the breakdown using one [Axis] which has nested layers of [Member]s to represent the total, subtotal, and detailed items:

Nested set of [Member]s:

Label	Report Element Class	Period	Balance	Preferred Label Role	Name
 Sales Analysis, by Geographic Area [Table] 	[Table]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:SalesAnalysisByGeographicAreaTable
 Legal Entity [Axis] 	[Axis]	For Period		Standard Label	frm:LegalEntityAxis
Consolidated Entity [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	frm:ConsolidatedEntityMember
Geographic Area [Axis]	[Axis]	For Period		Standard Label	frm:GeographicAreaAxis
 Geographic Areas, All [Member] 	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	frm:GeographicAreasAllMember
 North America Region [Member] 	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	frm:NorthAmericaRegionMember
United States [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:UnitedStatesMember
Canada [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:CanadaMember
✓ Europe Region [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	frm:EuropeRegionMember
United Kingdom [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:UnitedKingdomMember
Germany [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:GermanyMember
 Sales Analysis, by Geographic Area [Line Items] 	[LineItems]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:SalesAnalysisByGeographicAreaLineItems
 Sales Analysis, by Geographic Area [Hierarchy] 	[Abstract]	For Period		Standard Label	pattern:SalesAnalysisByGeographicAreaHierarchy
Sales	[Concept] Monetary	For Period	Credit	Standard Label	pattern:Sales

A second approach is to represent the information using two independent [Axis], one to represent the geographic area, a second to represent the country. This representation approach yields two flat sets of [Member]s.

Two separate [Axis] which yields two flat sets of [Member]s:

La	bel	F	Report Element Class	Period	Balance	Preferred L	Name
~	Sa	ales Analysis, by Geographic Area [Table] [[Table]	For Period		Standard L	pattern:SalesAnalysisByGeographicAreaTable
	~	Legal Entity [Axis] [[Axis]	For Period		Standard L	frm:LegalEntityAxis
		Consolidated Entity [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard L	frm:ConsolidatedEntityMember
	~/	Geographic Area [Axis]	[Axis]	For Period		Standard L	frm:GeographicAreaAxis
	- (Geographic Areas, All [Member] 	[Member]	For Period		Standard L	frm:GeographicAreasAllMember
	1	North America Region [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard L	frm:NorthAmericaRegionMember
	· `	Europe Region [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard L	frm:EuropeRegionMember
	~/	Country [Axis]	[Axis]	For Period		Standard L	pattern:CountryAxis
		✓ Countries, All [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard L	pattern:CountriesAllMember
		United States [Member] [[Member]	For Period		Standard L	pattern:UnitedStatesMember
		Canada [Member] [[Member]	For Period		Standard L	pattern:CanadaMember
		United Kingdom [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard L	pattern:UnitedKingdomMember
	\ \	Germany [Member]	[Member]	For Period		Standard L	pattern:GermanyMember
	\sim	Sales Analysis, by Geographic Area [Line Items] [[LineItems]	For Period		Standard L	pattern:SalesAnalysisByGeographicAreaLineItems
		 Sales Analysis, by Geographic Area [Hierarchy] 	[Abstract]	For Period		Standard L	pattern:SalesAnalysisByGeographicAreaHierarchy
		Sales	[Concept] Monetary	For Period	Credit	Standard L	pattern:Sales

1.4. Recognize that different syntax does not mean different meaning

The ultimate objective when representing information using XBRL is to convey meaning. Just because syntax is different it does not mean that the meaning conveyed is different.

The set of examples is intended to show different representation syntax convey the same meaning.

What is different between these examples is the following:

- **Explicit or implied [Table]**: Whether a hypercube or [Table] is explicitly provided and therefore specifically identifiable or whether the hypercube or [Table] is implied.
- **Explicit or implied [Axis]**: Whether a dimension or [Axis] is explicitly present on an implied or explicit [Table].
- **Physically present [Axis]/[Member]**: Whether an [Axis]/[Member] is physically present within the context is determined by whether dimension-default information is provided.

Whether a hypercube is explicitly present or not and/or whether a dimension is explicitly present or not, a hypercube represents a Cartesian product of the set of dimensions².

In the examples provided the meaning conveyed is the exactly the same even though the syntax used to represent that meaning is different.

The business use case is to represent "Sales" in total and broken down by business segment and by geographic area.

² XBRL Dimensions 1.0 Specification, <u>https://www.xbrl.org/specification/dimensions/rec-2012-01-</u> 25/dimensions-rec-2006-09-18+corrected-errata-2012-01-25-clean.html

1.4.1.Business use case TOTAL Only, Sales for all business segments and all geographic areas:

Component: (Network and Table)								
Network	Sales Analysis, Summary	Sales Analysis, Summary						
Table	able Sales Analysis, Summary [Table]							
Reporting Entity [Axis]		SAMP http://www.SampleCompany.com						
Geographic Area [Axis]		Geographic Areas, All [Member]		۲				
Business Segment [Axi	s]	Business Segments, All [Member]						
Legal Entity [Axis]		Consolidated Entity [Member]						
		Period [Axis]						
Sales Analysis, Summary [Line Items]		2010-01-01/2010-12-31	2009-01-01/2009-12-31	2008-01-01/2008-12-31				
Sales Analysis, Summary [Hierarchy]								
Sales		32,038,000	35,805,000	32,465,000				

Breakdown of TOTAL by Business segment breakdown:

omponent: (Network and Table)								
Network	Sales Analysis, by Business Segment							
Table	Sales Analysis, by Business Segment [Table]							
Reporting Entity [Axis]		SAMP http://www.SampleComp	any.com	Ŷ				
Legal Entity [Axis]		Consolidated Entity [Member]		۲				
Geographic Area [Axis]	Geographic Areas, All [Member]]	۲				
			Period [Axis]					
Sales Analysis, by Busi	iness Segment [Line Items]	Business Segment [Ax 🔺	2010-01-01/2010-12-31	2009-01-01/2009-12-31	2008-01-01/2008-12-31			
Sales Analysis, by Bu	usiness Segment [Hierarchy]							
Sales		Pharmaceuticals Segment [Member]	20,181,000	18,150,000	15,275,000			
		Generics Segment [Member]	2,433,000	1,973,000	1,823,000			
		Consumer Health Segment [Member]	6,675,000	6,514,000	5,752,000			
		Other Segments [Member]	2,749,000	9,168,000	9,615,000			
		Business Segments, All [Member]	32,038,000	35,805,000	32,465,000			

Breakdown of TOTAL by Geographic area breakdown:

Component: (Network and Table)						
Network	ork Sales Analysis, by Geographic Area					
Table	Sales Analysis, by Geographic Area [Table]				
Reporting Entity [Axis] SAMP http://www.SampleCompany.com						
Business Segment [Axi	is]	Business Segments, All [Memb	ber]	<u>٦</u>		
Legal Entity [Axis]		Consolidated Entity [Member]		۴		
	Period [Axis]					
Sales Analysis, by Geo	graphic Area [Line Items]	Geographic Area [Ax 🔺	2010-01-01/2010-12-31	2009-01-01/2009-12-31	2008-01-01/2008-12-31	
Sales Analysis, by Ge	eographic Area [Hierarchy]					
Sales		North America Region [Member]	10,214,000	12,649,000	10,137,000	
		Europe Region [Member]	11,901,000	10,374,000	10,396,000	
		Asia Region [Member]	5,639,000	4,371,000	3,210,000	
		Other Regions [Member]	4,284,000	8,411,000	8,722,000	
		Geographic Areas, All [Member]	32,038,000	35,805,000	32,465,000	

1.4.2.Test case 0

Test Case 0: Income statement fragment shows total sales for the reporting economic entity which is a consolidated entity; breakdowns of that total are provided by business segment and by geographic area

<u>http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/DigitalFinancialReporting/MemberArrangementPatte</u> rns/2017-05-07/MAP07-IntersectingTables/IntersectingTables-SampleInstance.xml

Imagine an income statement; here is one line item from that income statement.

Component: (Networ	Component: (Network and Table)					
Network	income Statement (Fragment)					
Table	income Statement (Fragment) [Table]					
Reporting Entity [Axis]		SAMP http://www.SampleCompany.com				
Legal Entity [Axis]		Consolidated Entity [Member]				
	Period [Axis] 👻					
Income Statement (Fragment) [Line Items]		2010-01-01/2010-12-31	2009-01-01/2009-12-31			
Income Statement [Roll Up]						
Sales		32,038,000	35,805,000			

That income statement line item can be broken down by the business segment dimension or the geographic area dimension.

Component: (Network and Table)						
Network	Income Statem	Income Statement (Fragment)				
Table	Income Statemen	Income Statement (Fragment) [Table]				
Reporting Entity [Ax	is]		SAM	P http://www.SampleComp	any.com	Ŷ
Legal Entity [Axis]			Con	solidated Entity [Member]		Ŷ
P			Perio	od [Axis] 🛛 🔫		
Income Statement (Fragment) [Line Item	s]	2	010-01-01/2010-12-31	2009-01-01/2009-12-31	
Income Statement	t [Roll Up]					
Sales				32,038,000	35,805,000	
Report Element F	Properties			23		
Properties I	Labels References	Occurrences	To Do			
Fragments co	ntaining: Sales					
Income Statement (Fragment) Income Statement (Fragment) [Table]						
Sales Analysis, by Business Segment 🔶 Sales Analysis, by Business Segment [Table]						
Sales Analysis, by Geographic Area 🔶 Sales Analysis, by Geographic Ar				graphic Area [Table]		

In this case assume that the sum of total business segment sales equals total sales; the sum of total geographic area sales equals total sales.

1.4.3.Test case 1

Test Case 1: Explicit hypercube/[Table] exists for Sales Analysis, Summary; Legal Entity [Axis], Business Segment [Axis], Geographic Area [Axis] Explicitly provided

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/DigitalFinancialReporting/BusinessUseCases/2017-05-07/BUC34-PivotTable/PivotTable-SampleInstance.xml

Component: (Network and Table)							
Network	Sales Analysis, Summary			Explicit hypercube/[Table/ exists; Legal Entity [Axis], Business Segment [Axis], and Geographic Area [Axis] explicitly provided; NO dimension default in used all			
Table Sales Analysis, Summary [Table]							
Reporting Entity [Axis]		SAMP http://www.SampleCompany.com					
Geographic Area [Axis]		Geographic Areas, All [Member]		is explicitly exist in XBRL			
Business Segment [Axis]		Business Segments, All [Member]		_	COMEXIS		
egal Entity [Axis]		Consolidated Entity [Member]					
		Period [Axis]					
Sales Analysis, Summary [Line Items]		2010-01-01/2010-12-31 2009-01-01/2009		12-31	2008-01-01/2008-12-31		
Sales Analysis, Sum	mary [Hierarchy]						
Sales		32,038,000	35,8	05,000	32,465,000		

XBRL definition relations:

-			Order	Arcrole
Ŧ (D	Definition View		
	Ŧ	🔷 Sales Analysis, by Business Segment		
		👻 🦣 Sales Analysis, by Business Segment [Line Items]	0	
		🕨 🕀 Sales Analysis, Summary [Hierarchy]	1	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/domain-member
		👻 🔟 Sales Analysis, by Business Segment [Table]	2	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/all
		🚽 🈰 Legal Entity [Axis]	1	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/hypercube-dimension
		Consolidated Entity [Member]	1	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/dimension-domain
		🚽 อ Business Segment [Axis]	2	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/hypercube-dimension
		Business Segments, All [Member]	1	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/dimension-domain
		🚽 อ Geographic Area [Axis]	3	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/hypercube-dimension
		Geographic Areas, All [Member]	1	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/dimension-domain
	Þ	🔷 Sales Analysis, by Geographic Area		
	Þ	🔷 Sales Analysis, Summary		

1.4.4.Test case 2

Test Case 2: Explicit hypercube/[Table] exists for Sales Analysis, Summary; Legal Entity [Axis], Business Segment [Axis], Geographic Area [Axis] Explicitly provided

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/DigitalFinancialReporting/BusinessUseCases/2017-05-07/BUC55-PivotTable/PivotTable-SampleInstance.xml

Component: (Network and Table)							
Network Sales Analysis, Summary	ork Sales Analysis, Summary						
Table Sales Analysis, Summary [Table]			equent [Axis], Business				
Reporting Entity [Axis] SAMP http://www.SampleCompany.com			Area [Axis] explicitly provided				
Geographic Area [Axis] Geographic Areas, All [Member]							
Business Segment [Axis] Business Segments, All [Member]							
Legal Entity [Axis]	Consolidated Entity [Member]						
	Period [Axis] 🔻						
Sales Analysis, Summary [Line Items]	2010-01-01/2010-12-31	2009-01-01/2009-12-31	2008-01-01/2008-12-31				
Sales Analysis, Summary [Hierarchy]							
Sales	32,038,000	35,805,00	0 32,465,000				

XBRL definition relations:

-		Arcrole	Order
Ŧ	D Definition View		
	Sales Analysis, by Business Segment		
	Sales Analysis, by Geographic Area		
	🗸 🔷 Sales Analysis, Summary		
	👻 🕀 Sales Analysis, by Business Segment [Line Items]		0
	🕨 🕀 Sales Analysis, Summary [Hierarchy]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/domain-member	1
	🚽 🔟 Sales Analysis, Summary [Table]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/all	2
	🚽 🍘 Legal Entity [Axis]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/hypercube-dimension	1
	Consolidated Entity [Member]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/dimension-domain	1
	Consolidated Entity [Member]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/dimension-default	2
	🚽 🎰 Business Segment [Axis]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/hypercube-dimension	2
	Business Segments, All [Member]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/dimension-domain	1
	😡 Business Segments, All [Member]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/dimension-default	2
	🚽 😰 Geographic Area [Axis]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/hypercube-dimension	3
	Geographic Areas, All [Member]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/dimension-domain	1
	Geographic Areas, All [Member]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/dimension-default	2

1.4.5.Test case 3

Test Case 3: Explicit hypercube/[Table] exists for Sales Analysis, Summary; Legal Entity [Axis] Explicitly provided

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/DigitalFinancialReporting/BusinessUseCases/2017-05-07/BUC56-PivotTable/PivotTable-SampleInstance.xml

Component: (Netwo	omponent: (Network and Table)						
Network	etwork Sales Analysis, Summary			Explicit hypercube/ITable/ exists:			
Table	Table Sales Analysis, Summary [Table]			Legal Entity [Axis] explicitly			
Reporting Entity [Axis] SAMP http://www.SampleCompany.com		any.com	provided				
Legal Entity [Axis]		Consolidated Entity [Member]					
		Period [Axis] 🛛 👻					
Sales Analysis, Summary [Line Items]		2010-01-01/2010-12-31	2009-01-01/2009-12-31	2008-01-01/2008-12-31			
Sales Analysis, Summary [Hierarchy]							
Sales		32,038,000	35,805,00	0 32,465,000			

XBRL Definition relations:

-			Arcrole	Order
- D	De	efinition View		
Þ	\diamond	Sales Analysis, by Business Segment		
►	\diamond	Sales Analysis, by Geographic Area		
Ŧ	\diamond	Sales Analysis, Summary		
	-	🕞 Sales Analysis, by Business Segment [Line Items]		0
		🕨 🕞 Sales Analysis, Summary [Hierarchy]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/domain-member	1
		👻 🔟 Sales Analysis, Summary [Table]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/all	2
		👻 💿 Legal Entity [Axis]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/hypercube-dimension	1
		Consolidated Entity [Member]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/dimension-domain	1
		Consolidated Entity [Member]	http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/dimension-default	2

1.4.6.Test case 4

Test Case 4: Explicit hypercube/[Table], but no dimensions /[Axes] are explicitly provided

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/DigitalFinancialReporting/BusinessUseCases/2017-05-07/BUC57-PivotTable/PivotTable-SampleInstance.xml

omponent: (Network and Table)							
Network Sales Analysis, Summary			Explicit hypercube/ITable/ exists:				
Table Sales Analysis, Summary [Table]			but the hyper	ut the hypercube/[Table] has no			
Reporting Entity [Axis] SAMP http://www.SampleCompany.com			explicit dimensions/[Axes].				
	Period [Axis]						
Sales Analysis, Summary [Line Items]	2010-01-01/2010-12-31	2009-01-01/2009-12-3	31 20	008-01-01/2008-12-31			
Sales Analysis, Summary [Hierarchy]							
Sales	32,038,000	35,805,0	000	32,465,000			

XBRL Definition relations: (a hypercube without any dimensions, which is perfectly legal per the XBRL Dimensions specification

-	Arcr	crole	Order
₹ (D Definition View		
	Sales Analysis, by Business Segment		
	Sales Analysis, by Geographic Area		
	🛛 🔷 Sales Analysis, Summary		
	👻 📭 Sales Analysis, by Business Segment [Line Items]		0
	👻 🕀 Sales Analysis, Summary [Hierarchy] http	tp://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/domain-member	1
	Sales http	tp://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/domain-member	1
	🗊 Sales Analysis, Summary [Table] http	tp://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/all	2

1.4.7.Test case 5

Test Case 5: No explicit hypercube/[Table] exists for Sales Analysis, Summary

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/DigitalFinancialReporting/BusinessUseCases/2017-05-07/BUC58-PivotTable/PivotTable-SampleInstance.xml

Component: (Network	c and Table)	Na avaliait	hunarauha aviata	
Network	Sales Analysis, Summary		hvper	cube is implied
Table	Table [Table]			
Reporting Entity [Axis] SAMP http://www.SampleCompany.com			any.com	Ŷ
		Period [Axis]		
Implied [Line Items]		2010-01-01/2010-12-31	2009-01-01/2009-12-31	2008-01-01/2008-12-31
Sales Analysis, Summary [Hierarchy]				
Sales		32,038,000	35,805,000	32,465,000

XBRL Definition relations: (i.e. there are none because there is no explicit hypercube provided)

•	Order	Arcrole	
- D Definition View			
🕨 🔷 Sales Analysis, by Business Segment			
🕨 🧇 Sales Analysis, by Geographic Area			

1.4.8.XBRL instance technical syntax

In each case, the actual FACTS reported are exactly the same. The only thing that changes is whether the dimensional information is explicitly provided with the context:

Facts: (exactly the same for all test cases)

	And the second s
< 1	<pre><pre><pre><pre>contextRef="U-Monetary" contextRef="D-2010-All">32038000</pre></pre></pre></pre>
<	<pre><pattern:sales contextref="D-2009-All" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">35805000</pattern:sales></pre>
}	<pre><pattern:sales contextref="D-2008-All" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">32465000</pattern:sales></pre>
2 >	By Segment
>	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2010-Pharm" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">20181000</pattern:sales>
۲,	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2009-Pharm" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">18150000</pattern:sales>
7	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2008-Pharm" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">15275000</pattern:sales>
3	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2010-Gen" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">2433000</pattern:sales>
£ -	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2009-Gen" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">1973000</pattern:sales>
>	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2008-Gen" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">1823000</pattern:sales>
5	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2010-ConHealth" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">6675000</pattern:sales>
3	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2009-ConHealth" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">6514000</pattern:sales>
>	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2008-ConHealth" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">5752000</pattern:sales>
3	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2010-OtherSeg" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">2749000</pattern:sales>
\sim	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2009-OtherSeg" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">9168000</pattern:sales>
~	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2008-OtherSeg" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">9615000</pattern:sales>
3	By Region
ţ.	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2010-US" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">10214000</pattern:sales>
٤	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2009-US" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">12649000</pattern:sales>
>	<pre><pattern:sales contextref="D-2008-US" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">10137000</pattern:sales></pre>
٤	<pre><pattern:sales contextref="D-2010-Europe" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">11901000</pattern:sales></pre>
3	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2009-Europe" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">10374000</pattern:sales>
3	<pre><pattern:sales contextref="D-2008-Europe" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">10396000</pattern:sales></pre>
5	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2010-Asia" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">5639000</pattern:sales>
2	<pre><pattern:sales contextref="D-2009-Asia" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">4371000</pattern:sales></pre>
<	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2008-Asia" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">3210000</pattern:sales>
5	<pre><pattern:sales contextref="D-2010-OtherRegions" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">4284000</pattern:sales></pre>
2	<pre><pattern:sales contextref="D-2009-OtherRegions" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">8411000</pattern:sales></pre>
3	<pattern:sales contextref="D-2008-OtherRegions" decimals="INF" unitref="U-Monetary">8722000</pattern:sales>
	xbrl>
×	

Context:

Test Case 1:

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/DigitalFinancialReporting/BusinessUseCases/2017-05-07/BUC34-PivotTable/PivotTable-SampleInstance.xml



Test Case 2:

http://xbrlsite.azurewebsites.net/DigitalFinancialReporting/BusinessUseCases/2017-05-07/BUC55-PivotTable/PivotTable-SampleInstance.xml

```
- <context id="D-2010-All">
        </context id="D-2010-All"
        </context id="D-2010-All">
        </context id="D-2010-All"
        </context id="D-201
```